



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-----------------|------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| 10/659,659 | 09/10/2003 | Nathaniel Christopher Herwig | 11388.00 | 3696 |
| 26884 | 7590 04/21/2005 | | EXAMINER | |
| PAUL W. MARTIN | | | LABAZE, EDWYN | |
| LAW DEPARTMENT, WHQ-4 1700 S. PATTERSON BLVD. | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| DAYTON, OH 45479-0001 | | | 2876 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 04/21/2005 | DATE MAILED: 04/21/2005 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|--|---|
| | 10/659,659 | HERWIG ET AL. |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | EDWYN LABAZE | 2876 |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication | appears on the cover sheet with the o | correspondence address |
| Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stany reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir. reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from atute, cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed rs will be considered timely. Ithe mailing date of this communication. CD (35 U.S.C. § 133). |
| Status | | |
| 1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 3. 2a) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) ⊠ 1. 3) □ Since this application is in condition for alloclosed in accordance with the practice under the condition of the | This action is non-final. wance except for formal matters, pr | |
| Disposition of Claims | | |
| 4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withen 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and | drawn from consideration. | |
| Application Papers | 579 | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the | accepted or b) objected to by the the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se rection is required if the drawing(s) is ob | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a | nents have been received. Hents have been received in Applicat Priority documents have been receiv Freau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | ion No ed in this National Stage |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date | | |

1. Receipt is acknowledged of amendments filed on 3/30/2005.

2. Claims 1-8 are presented for examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 1 and 5-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Coutts et al.

(U.S. 6,311,165).

Re claims 1 and 7: Coutts et al. discloses transaction processing systems, which includes

a receipt printer 14, including a housing 11 (col.8, lines 60+; col.56, lines 45+); a bar code reader

in the housing (col.4, lines 10+; col.27, lines 47+); and control circuitry [through the module

hardware 9/30] in the housing for facilitating communication of receipt data between the printer

14 and a separately housed [herein described as server 3/16/26; as shown in figs. # 1-2, 4a]

controlling computer and bar code data between the bar code reader and the separately housed

controlling computer over a single cable 17 (col.8, lines 40+; col.11, lines 60+). Coutts et al.

further discloses a universal serial bus hub/link [which also could be an RS-232 link, Firewire or

Ethernet link; as shown in fig. # 4a, 8, 15, 26-31] for interconnecting the various peripheral

devices within the housing and with the external peripheral devices (col.19, lines 60-67; col.27,

lines 50+).

Art Unit: 2876

Re claim 5: Coutts et al. discloses an apparatus, wherein the bar code reader is located in a position in the housing 11 {as shown in fig. # 2} that does not interfere with operation of the printer 14 (col.8, lines 55+).

Re claim 6: Coutts et al. teaches an apparatus, wherein the control circuitry comprises a universal serial bus hub (col.19, lines 60-67).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 2-4 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Coutts et al. (U.S. 6,311,165) in view Zhu et al. (U.S. 6,619,549).

The teachings of Coutts et al. have been discussed above.

Coutts et al. fails to teach an imaging scanner comprises of a charge coupled device or CCD, and a presentation scanner.

Zhu et al. discloses barcode symbol reading device having intelligent data communication interface to a host system, which includes a presentation scanner (col.4, lines 5+; col.11, lines 1+); USB link (col.17, lines 1-67), and a CCD scanner (col.23, lines 30+; col.29, lines 10+).

In view of Zhu et al.'s teachings, it would have been obvious to an artisan of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ into the teachings of Coutts et al. a

Art Unit: 2876

presentation scanner also known in the art as a hand-free/pass-through scanner so as to permit the barcodes to be presented to the scanner with a motion that is parallel to the window. Furthermore, such modification would be beneficial to the user by reducing stress and fatigue of holding to use the [wand] scanner, wherein the presentation scanner is fixedly connected to housing/device while bar coded objects are moved through the scanned field. Moreover, such modification would have been an obvious extension as taught by Coutts et al., therefore an obvious expedient.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Ono et al. (U.S. 6,126,340) discloses printer.

Walter et al. (U.S. 6,246,995) teaches product activity data collection system.

Gatto et al. (U.S. 6,710,895) discloses compact configurable scanning computer terminal.

Cook et al. (U.S. 6,786,655) teaches method and system for self-service film processing.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to EDWYN LABAZE whose telephone number is (571) 272-2395. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 AM - 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G. Lee can be reached on (571) 272-2398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/659,659

Art Unit: 2876

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

el Edwyn Labaze Patent Examiner Art Unit 2876

April 14, 2005

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 5